

Day 15.

1. Type and Effect Systems

Let's consider a language with state and exceptions. (Exact semantics unimportant—we'll do with an intuitive semantics for now.)

$$t ::= z \mid t \odot t \mid x \mid \lambda x.t \mid t t \mid \text{get} \mid \text{put } t \mid \text{throw } t \mid \text{try } t \text{ catch } t$$

Now, we want to design a type system—that is, a static approximation of its (intuitive) dynamic semantics—for this language.

- Initial intuition: what does $\Gamma \vdash t : T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ mean? It means that t defines a term that, given a T_1 shaped argument, produces a T_2 shaped result. That is, it approximates the observable behavior of t .
- Just types sufficient for *pure* functional programming: intuitively, nothing but the free variables of a term (i.e., Γ) determine the meaning of the term.
- Insufficient for *impure* functional programming... but why would we care?
 - Correctness: can we do two things in parallel?
 - Compiler transformations: can we combine subexpressions? Omit dead code? Etc.

Goal: a *type and effect* system which characterizes both *what* a term produces and *how* it produces it.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Y} \ni T &::= \text{Int} \mid T \rightarrow T \\ \mathcal{E} \ni e &::= \text{get} \mid \text{put} \mid \text{throw} \end{aligned}$$

Our typing relation will now be a 4-place relation, associating a term and its context with both a type ($T \in \mathcal{Y}$) and a *set of* effects ($E \subseteq \mathcal{E}$).

$$\cdot \vdash \dots \& \cdot \subseteq (\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}) \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{E})$$

Let's try to write some typing rules.

We'll start with integers.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash z : \text{Int} \& \emptyset} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : \text{Int} \& e_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : \text{Int} \& e_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 \odot t_2 : \text{Int} \& e_1 \cup e_2}$$

- Integer constants “obviously” have no effect.
- Binary operations have as many effects as their operands do... for example, $\text{get} + 1$ must have the effects that get does, but it doesn't add any more effects of its own.

Now let's look at some side-effecting operations:

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{get} : \text{Int} \& \{\text{get}\}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t : \text{Int} \& e}{\Gamma \vdash \text{put } t : \text{Int} \& e \cup \{\text{put}\}}$$

Now we can restate the typing rules for functions:

$$\frac{\Gamma[x \mapsto T_1] \vdash t : T_2 \& e}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.t : T_1 \xrightarrow{e} T_2 \& \emptyset} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t_1 : T_1 \xrightarrow{e_3} T_2 \& e_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash t_2 : T_1 \& e_2}{\Gamma \vdash t_1 t_2 : T_2 \& e_1 \cup e_2 \cup e_3}$$

And our example should work:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\overline{\{a \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash a : \text{Int} \& \emptyset} \quad \overline{\{a \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash 1 : \text{Int} \& \emptyset}}{\overline{\{a \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash a + 1 : \text{Int} \& \emptyset}}}{\overline{\{a \mapsto \text{Int}\} \vdash \text{put}(a + 1) : \text{Int} \& \{\text{put}\}}}}{\overline{\emptyset \vdash \lambda a.\text{put}(a + 1) : \text{Int} \xrightarrow{\{\text{put}\}} \text{Int} \& \emptyset}} \quad \overline{\emptyset \vdash 1 : \text{Int} \& \emptyset}}{\overline{\emptyset \vdash (\lambda a.\text{put}(a + 1)) 1 : \text{Int} \& \{\text{put}\}}}$$